



OPINION ARTICLE

REVISED It's the network, stupid: a population's sexual network connectivity determines its STI prevalence [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]

Chris R. Kenyon ^{1,2}, Wim Delva ³⁻⁵

¹Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Antwerp, 2000, Belgium

²Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

³Department of Global Health, University of Stellenbosch, Stellenbosch, South Africa

⁴International Centre for Reproductive Health, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁵South African Centre for Epidemiological Modelling and Analysis, University of Stellenbosch, Stellenbosch, South Africa

v2 First published: 02 Dec 2018, 7:1880
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17148.1>

Latest published: 30 Jan 2019, 7:1880
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17148.2>

Abstract

There is little consensus as to why sexually transmitted infections (STIs), including HIV and bacterial vaginosis (BV) are more prevalent in some populations than others. Using a broad definition of sexual network connectivity that includes both *structural* and *conductivity-related* factors, we argue that the available evidence suggests that high prevalence of traditional STIs, HIV and BV can be parsimoniously explained by these populations having more connected sexual networks. Positive feedback, whereby BV and various STIs enhance the spread of other STIs, then further accentuates the spread of BV, HIV and other STIs. We review evidence that support this hypothesis and end by suggesting study designs that could further evaluate the hypothesis, as well as implications of this hypothesis for the prevention and management of STIs.

Keywords

HIV, STI, bacterial vaginosis, sexual network connectivity, concurrency

Open Peer Review

Reviewer Status

	Invited Reviewers	
	1	2
version 2		
(revision)		
30 Jan 2019	report	
	↑	
version 1		
02 Dec 2018	? report	 report

1. Ann M. Jolly , University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada

2. Eline L Korenromp , Avenir Health, Geneva, Switzerland

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Chris R. Kenyon (chriskenyon0@gmail.com)

Author roles: **Kenyon CR:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Delva W:** Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2019 Kenyon CR and Delva W. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Kenyon CR and Delva W. **It's the network, stupid: a population's sexual network connectivity determines its STI prevalence [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2019, 7:1880 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17148.2>

First published: 02 Dec 2018, 7:1880 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17148.1>

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

A number of specific edits have been made following the suggestions of the reviewers (detailed in the response to reviewers section). In addition, we have added a new paragraphs detailing:

- Further information on the data sources used to calculate peak HIV prevalence
- How the network-connectivity theory conceptualizes the relationship between race, ethnicity and STIs.
- Additional limitations of the paper relating to how we mention the importance of, but do not go into *detail* as to the importance of upstream determinants of STI transmission
- The legend for [Figure 2](#) has been expanded

See referee reports

Introduction

There is little consensus as to why the prevalence of bacterial vaginosis (BV), HIV and other sexually transmitted infections (STIs) varies so dramatically around the world. A range of explanations have been put forward, including variation in circumcision prevalence¹, STI treatment efficacy², poverty²⁻⁴, socioeconomic inequality⁵, gender inequality⁶, migration intensity⁷, hormonal contraception⁸, vaginal microbiome⁹, host genetic susceptibility¹⁰ and sexual behavior^{11,12}. We do not dispute that each of these can play a role in differential STI spread. Rather we argue that differential connectivity of sexual networks emerges as a parsimonious dominant explanation for the global variation in STI prevalence, taking a central position in the causal pathway that links all of the above-mentioned risk factors for STI infection ([Figure 1](#)).

Outline and origins of the network connectivity theory

STIs are transmitted along sexual networks and, as a result, the structural characteristics of these networks determine the speed and extent of STI spread¹³⁻¹⁵. These structural characteristics include summary measures of the number of partners per unit time, coital frequency, prevalence of concurrent partnering (having two or more partners at the same time), size of core groups (and their connections with non-core populations), type of sex, size of sexual network, length of gaps between partnerships and degree/type of homophily^{13,15-20} (reviewed in [21](#)). These structural factors determine the forward reachable path of a network, which is defined as the cumulative set of individuals in a population that can be infected with an STI from an initial seed via a path of temporally ordered partnerships²². Two particularly important determinants of the forward reachable path are the prevalence of concurrency and the number of partners per unit time²².

STI transmission can also be enhanced through a sexual network by factors that enhance the conductivity or probability of STI transmission per sex act. These factors include a low prevalence of circumcision, pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) and condom usage ([Figure 1](#) and [Figure 2](#)). Enhanced screening/early

and effective treatment of STIs could reduce spread of STIs via reducing the duration of infectivity. Because numerous STIs enhance the transmission/acquisition of other STIs²³, effective STI control could then also reduce the conductivity of a network. We use a broad definition of network connectivity in this paper that includes both these *structural* and *conductivity-related* factors.

The origins of this network connectivity theory lie in the STI modeling field. Previous modelling studies from the 1970s established the importance of the rate of partner change and mixing between core and non-core groups to STI spread^{24,25}. Seminal modelling papers by Morris *et al.*¹³ and Watts *et al.*¹⁵ in the 1990s built on these findings by revealing that the prevalence of sexual partner concurrency may be a particularly important determinant of network connectivity. Their analyses found that relatively small increases in concurrency could lead to dramatic increases in network connectivity and as a result, HIV spread¹³. The main mechanisms whereby concurrency promotes STI spread are illustrated in [Figure 1](#). A number of empirical studies have subsequently established that markers of network connectivity such as concurrency and rate of partner change are correlated with the prevalence of all major STIs ([Table 1](#)). In this paper, we review some of the cross sectional and longitudinal evidence that two components of network connectivity (concurrency and rate of partner change) are associated with STI prevalence. We then summarize evidence that network connectivity influences the prevalence of BV and end by noting the potential for positive feedback loops between various STIs being underpinned by network connectivity.

Markers of network connectivity are correlated with the prevalence of STIs: cross-sectional evidence

a. Ethnic group comparative analyses

USA: In the United States the prevalence of BV, HIV and most STIs for non-Hispanic blacks in the 1990s was considerably higher than in non-Hispanic whites ([Figure 3](#)). Historical data is limited but the available data demonstrates that these divergences in prevalence extend back to the 1930s for syphilis^{26,27} and the 1970s for HSV-2²⁸. Morris *et al.* used five large national behavioural surveys to investigate which possible risk factors could underpin these differences in HIV prevalence, and found that the prevalence of concurrency was on average 3.5 and 2.1 times higher in non-Hispanic black men and women, respectively. In their modelling analysis, they found that these differences in concurrency prevalence between these groups translated into 2.6-fold differences in HIV prevalence. They did not, however, model the enhanced transmission probability that is associated with acute HIV which subsequent analyses have shown to have a synergistic effect with concurrency on HIV transmission²⁹. Subsequent studies have demonstrated that concurrency plays an important role in the spread of the other STIs and thus the differential concurrency prevalence they found could represent a parsimonious explanation for the differences in the range of STI prevalence demonstrated in [Figure 3](#).

Southern Africa: The HIV prevalence varies 40-fold between ethnic groups in South Africa³⁰. Analyses from 5 nationally representative behavioural surveys revealed that the most





Figure 1. Schematic comparison of STI spread in a low (left) and high (right) sexual network connectivity populations soon after sexual debut. In both populations, STI acquisition commences when 'A' has sex with an older man and acquires BV-associated bacteria (yellow) and HSV-2 (black border around each node). In the high connectivity population 'A' also acquires *T. vaginalis* (TV; red) from this relationship. The major determinant of the difference in network connectivity is that more relationships run concurrently in the high connectivity population. This facilitates STI spread by: i) Creating a larger reachable path for STIs¹³, ii) Removing the benefits of partner sequencing seen in serial monogamy (for details see 21), iii) Reducing the time between STI transmissions since infections are not trapped in dyads²¹ and iv) Bypassing the rapid-clearance-in-males-buffer^{31,32}. This is the buffer that reduces STI spread in serial monogamous networks where the gap between partnerships (time points 1 and 2) is longer than the duration of colonization of TV and BV-associated bacteria in men. This gap protects the women at time point 2 in the serial monogamy/low connectivity population (represented by the partner of B at time point 2) but not in the high connectivity population from BV and TV acquisition. Various STIs, including HSV-2, BV and TV enhance the susceptibility/infectiousness of other STIs, leading to positive feedback loops. This is conveyed via the transmission probabilities being depicted as proportional to edge width. The high connectivity network also has a low prevalence of circumcision and condom usage which further increase STI transmission probabilities in this population. The combination of high network connectivity, low circumcision/condom-use lead to a rapid spread of multiple STIs in the high- but not the low-connectivity network (blue nodes, no STIs; squares, men; circles, women).




Figure 2. Conceptual framework for the understanding the genesis of differences in STI prevalence between populations. Note that we use directed cyclic graphs as short-hand notation for an infinite acyclic directed graph containing variables indexed by time. Our definition of network connectivity is broad: in addition to considering the sexual links between individuals, it takes into account the “conductivity” and timing of these links.

Table 1. A non-exhaustive tabulation of studies that have found number of partners and partner concurrency to be positively associated with various sexually transmitted infections (STIs). Studies that found no association are not included.

STI	No. sex partners		Concurrency prevalence	
	Individual level	Population level	Individual level	Population level
BV	[33]	NA	[31]	[34]
Chlamydia	[35, 36]	[35]	[18, 36, 37]	NA
Gonorrhoea	[38]	NA	[39]	NA
HIV	[40, 41]	[42–44]	[45–47]	[48–50]
HSV-2	[51, 52]	NA	[52]	[53]
HPV	[54, 55]	NA	[56]	NA
Syphilis	[35]	NA	[57]	[58]
Trichomoniasis	[37, 59]	NA	[32, 37]	[60]

No. of sex partners refers to number of partners over lifetime or over past year. Concurrency refers to the prevalence of concurrency (male, female or combined) for the population level studies and partner concurrency in the individual level studies. NA, not available/no studies found that evaluated this association.




Figure 3. Estimated prevalence ratio of HIV and other sexually transmitted infections in non-Hispanic Blacks versus non-Hispanic Whites in the United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2003–2004. Figure modified from 12 and 61.

plausible risk factors that could explain this were the 5- to 17-fold higher prevalence of male concurrency and the higher number of partners per year in the highest compared to the lowest HIV prevalence ethnic group³⁰. A modelling study likewise demonstrated that the combination of concurrency and rate of partner change was responsible for approximately 75% of the HIV infections in the 1990s when antenatal HIV prevalence increased from 0.7% to 24.5%⁶². In a similar vein, modelling studies from Zimbabwe found that both the observed high prevalence of concurrency and the increased transmission probability associated with acute HIV were needed to replicate Zimbabwe's explosive HIV epidemic curve²⁹.

Elsewhere: The prevalence of concurrency and/or number of partners have also been found to be associated with variations in HIV prevalence by ethnic group in Ethiopia⁴³, Honduras⁶³, Kenya⁶⁴, Uganda⁶⁵ and the United Kingdom^{66–68}. Although no published study has assessed how generalizable these findings are globally, one study attempted to do this within sub-Saharan African countries. This study used demographic and health surveys to systematically assess the behavioural correlates of HIV prevalence by region (as a proxy for ethnic group) in 47 surveys from 27 African countries where HIV prevalence varied by at least two-fold between regions. It found that the lifetime number of partners reported by men and women was positively correlated with HIV prevalence in 23 and 18 out of 36 surveys, respectively. Likewise, reporting sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner by men and women was positively correlated with HIV prevalence in 38 and 39 out of 47 surveys, respectively⁶⁹.

The relationship between ethnicity, race and STI prevalence

It is of paramount importance to emphasize that our hypothesis makes no reference to race. The hypothesis proposes that

there are differences in sexual behavior between different groups of people which translate into differences in network connectivity and as a result differential STI prevalence. These groups can be defined by sexual orientation, ethnicity, social class, caste or whatever categories meaningfully segregate sexual networks. These categories are social constructs and thus vary considerably across time and place. It is our considered opinion that investigators who conduct investigations into STI epidemiology using these categories do so with sufficient sensitivity to the concerns as to how these categories are and have been used and abused.

Other authors have hypothesized that biological differences between racial groups play an important role in STI epidemiology. A recent form of this argument is that 'black populations' are innately more likely to have bacterial-vaginosis-type vaginal microbiomes which in turn facilitates the transmission of various STIs in this population⁹. We and others have argued that the evidence does not support this, and other race-based explanations of differential STI spread^{70,71}. As an example, we noted that 'black populations' with evidence of low sexual network connectivity have a very low prevalence of BV and conversely 'white populations' with high connectivity had a high BV prevalence^{70,71}.

b. Country level comparisons

In the country level analysis we focus on studies that investigate the correlates of country level peak HIV prevalence. Peak HIV prevalence, which represents the maximal HIV prevalence that countries obtained prior to the widespread availability of antiretroviral therapy is a useful composite measure of the factors that enabled the rapid spread of HIV⁷². Peak HIV prevalence is based on generally high quality data on HIV prevalence around the world. National HIV prevalence estimates are frequently

based on nationally representative serosurveys and other sources such as antenatal surveys that involve sample sizes of up to 216,703 individuals^{73–75}. Nationally representative, HIV sero-linked, demographic health surveys are one example of this. MeasureDHS provides open-access to 72 such surveys from 37 countries (<https://dhsprogramme.com>). As such, peak HIV prevalence offers a useful outcome measure to assess what the correlates of rapid and extensive HIV transmission are⁷². Studies have shown that peak HIV prevalence is not associated with a number of risk factors widely believed to be important for HIV spread: poverty, socioeconomic inequality, gender inequality, prevalence of migration and STI treatment efficacy^{6,11,76,77}. These findings are of considerable consequence. Many authors have claimed that STIs are diseases of poverty^{2–4}. Studies from Africa and elsewhere suggest that this is far from universally the case. Using HIV-serolinked and nationally representative survey data from eight countries in sub-Saharan Africa, Mishra *et al.*, for example, established that HIV prevalence increased monotonically with wealth quintile for both men and women⁷⁸. This finding has since been confirmed in 19 other countries⁴⁰.

Only two risk factors have been consistently found to be associated with peak HIV prevalence: circumcision and the prevalence of concurrency.

- i. Circumcision: There is a strong negative association between circumcision and peak HIV prevalence within sub-Saharan Africa, but not globally^{11,77}. This is unsurprising since sub-Saharan Africa has the highest prevalence of HIV and the second highest prevalence of circumcision in the world^{77,79}. The vast majority of the world's population lives in countries with both low HIV and low circumcision prevalence¹¹. Various lines of evidence suggest that something else is driving the spread of HIV in sub-Saharan Africa and that circumcision is then moderating this risk^{77,80}.
- ii. Concurrency prevalence: The prevalence of male concurrency has been found to be associated with peak HIV prevalence in a cross country study⁴⁸. Other studies have however failed to find this association^{81,82} but serious methodological questions have been raised pertaining to these studies including the fact one of these studies compared 5 year cumulative concurrency rates from European countries with point prevalence of concurrency in African countries^{48,81,83}. A further problem related to these cross-national comparisons is that national populations are frequently composed of multiple subpopulations that may have large differences in HIV prevalence. In 29 sub-Saharan countries with available data, for example, HIV prevalence was found to vary by a median of 3.7-fold (IQR 2.9–7.9) between regions within countries⁶⁹. As argued above, more fine-grained studies investigating the correlates of HIV prevalence by ethnic group or region within these and other countries have found a range of markers of network connectivity (such as partner number and

concurrency) and other risk factors to be associated with HIV prevalence^{12,43,65,66}.

c. *Men who have sex with men (MSM) vs. heterosexual comparison*

A number of high-income countries are experiencing epidemics of a range of STIs that are disproportionately affecting MSM⁸⁴. In the year 2014 in London, for example, MSM who comprised only 2% of the population, contributed a disproportionate number of diagnoses of STIs (23%/63%/69%/90% of all new chlamydia/HIV/gonorrhoea/syphilis, respectively)⁸⁵. Lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV) and sexually transmitted hepatitis C have also been noted to disproportionately affect MSM in contemporary outbreaks in high-income countries^{84,86}. A parsimonious explanation for this clustering of STIs in MSM is a combination of behavioural factors including number of sex partners and partner concurrency^{86,87}. In the United Kingdom, MSM in 2012 reported a median (interquartile range) of 5 (2–30) partners in the past 5 years versus 1 (1–3) reported by heterosexual men⁸⁸; likewise, the proportions reporting concurrency in the prior 5 years were 52% and 15% for these two groups, respectively⁸⁸.

Markers of network connectivity are correlated with the incidence of STIs: longitudinal evidence

In this section, we consider two (of many possible) examples where large changes in STI incidence are preceded by corresponding changes in network connectivity.

a) *Incidence of primary/secondary syphilis in MSM in the USA 1963 to 2013.*

In their review of syphilis epidemiology in the United States 1963 to 2013, Peterman *et al.*, found evidence of an initial dramatic increase in primary/secondary syphilis in MSM between 1963 and 1982 followed by a steep decline to close to zero cases in 1994 and a subsequent increase to 228/100,000 in 2013 (Figure 4)⁸⁹. Increases in multiple partnering were thought to underpin the initial increase^{89–92}. The AIDS epidemic in the 1980s led to reductions in network connectivity via both behaviour change and deaths of individuals (from AIDS) centrally placed in sexual networks^{89,93}. The arrival of effective antiretroviral therapy from 1996 onwards played an important role in the increases in rates of partner change and reductions in condom usage^{89,94} noted during the ongoing epidemic of syphilis in this population. Although this evidence is indirect and susceptible to confounding, it is at least suggestive that the two large increases and one precipitous decline in syphilis incidence were determined to some extent by corresponding changes in network connectivity. Of note, this epidemic trajectory of syphilis in MSM in the United States was similar to that of a range of other STIs such as LGV and gonorrhoea in this same population and in MSM in other high income countries⁸⁴. In a range of European countries where MSM were similarly affected by the AIDS epidemic, the incidence of STIs such as syphilis, LGV and gonorrhoea declined to very low rates in the post AIDS period before large increases in the late 1990s onwards corresponding to increases in partner number and declines in condom usage⁸⁴.




Figure 4. Fluctuations in estimated annual rate of primary/secondary syphilis among men who have sex with men (MSM) and men who have sex with women only (MSW) in the USA, 1963–2013 (Modified from 89). Also shown is how these were temporally associated with changes in network connectivity represented schematically.

b) Southern and Eastern Africa

A number of studies from general populations in Southern and Eastern Africa have concluded that reductions in partner number and concurrency played an important role in the impressive declines in HIV incidence in Uganda, Zimbabwe and other countries in the region^{95–100}. Delayed sexual debut, increased condom usage, enhanced antiretroviral therapy coverage and AIDS mortality (via reduced network connectivity) also played an important role in this regard^{96,100,101}.

Clustering of STIs includes incurable STIs and network connectivity is the most parsimonious way to explain this clustering

We have already noted the striking clustering of STIs within certain ethnic groups and sexual orientations in a number of countries. Strong evidence of clustering of STIs has also been found at WHO world regional¹⁰² and country levels. At a country level, the peak HIV prevalence has been found to be associated with the prevalence of a range of STIs before/early in the HIV epidemics: syphilis¹⁰³, gonorrhoea¹⁰⁴, HSV-2¹⁰³ and trichomoniasis¹⁰⁴ and BV¹⁰⁴.

This clustering of STIs is important for two reasons. Firstly, it suggests that one or more common risk factors could underpin variations in all these STIs. Secondly, the treatable but incurable

STI, HSV-2 is correlated with both peak HIV prevalence¹⁰³ and antenatal syphilis prevalence from the pre-HIV period⁶⁶. This is relevant because differential STI treatment efficacy can explain differences in the prevalence of treatable STIs such as syphilis but not HSV-2. Differential network connectivity, which can explain the differential spread of all STIs, is thus a more parsimonious way to explain the clustering of STIs.

Network connectivity is also a risk factor for BV

Recent couple studies have demonstrated that the consortia of bacteria that constitute the dysbiosis, BV are sexually transmitted^{105–111}. BV is strongly associated with number of sexual partners and reporting partner concurrency at an individual level^{31,33,112,113}. An ecological study found associations at the level of countries between the prevalence of male concurrency and the prevalence of BV³⁴. The same association was also found at the level of ethnic groups within countries³⁴.

Populations with high network connectivity are thus likely to have a high prevalence of BV which in addition to the adverse clinical effects of BV¹¹⁴, is important because of the positive feedback cycles between BV and the traditional STIs. BV for example has been shown to enhance susceptibility to chlamydia^{115,116}, gonorrhoea¹¹⁵, HIV^{117,118}, HSV-2^{119,120} and TV^{115,116,121}. HSV-2 and TV have in turn been shown to increase the risk for acquisition

of BV and other STIs^{115–117,122}. A more detailed review of the evidence linking BV prevalence to network connectivity is provided in 71.

Previous modelling studies have found that relatively small increases in parameters of network connectivity can lead to non-linear increases in HIV/STI spread¹³. If this applies to BV as well, then more connected sexual networks would be expected to facilitate the rapid spread of BV and the various STIs soon after sexual debut. These would then increase susceptibility and transmission of other STIs, adding a further means by which enhanced network connectivity could lead to increases in STI spread. Network connectivity would thus indirectly enhance probability of transmission per sex act for different STIs (Figure 1).

Limitations

It should be emphasized that this paper presents a narrative, non-systematic review of evidence for network connectivity as a parsimonious explanation of variations in genital microbiomes and STI prevalence. As such, our sampling of evidence is likely biased. We acknowledge that we have picked evidence that is supportive of our hypothesis. Our definition of network connectivity could also be criticized as being impractical because it includes such a breadth of structural and conductivity variables. Consequently, in our conceptual framework of network connectivity, different combinations of these variables could yield the same STI prevalence.

Considerable further work is necessary to construct formulae of the determinants of network connectivity and then establish how these relate to empirical estimates of STI prevalence around the world. A global study that uses a standardized methodology (Table 2) to map the variations in STI prevalence and associated risk factors by ethnic group/region within all relevant countries could provide valuable further information. So too, longitudinal studies that follow up populations from high and low STI prevalence populations from the time of sexual debut would be useful. These should accurately map the timing and correlates of STI spread including alterations of vaginal and penile

microbiomes and allow more precise quantitation of which risk factors are most important for STI spread. These studies should enable the construction of more accurate models of STI spread that can be used to predict STI prevalence for specific populations under various counterfactual scenarios such as reductions in the prevalence of concurrent partnering.

Our theory includes mention of the wide array of upstream socioeconomic and political factors that have been shown to influence the spread of STIs¹²³. We argue that the pathways through which these factors facilitate STI transmission is to a large extent mediated via alterations in network connectivity¹²⁴. We have not, however, gone into any detail into reviewing the evidence on which this view is based^{123,125,126}. Furthermore, our focus on the more downstream factors responsible for STI transmission should not detract from efforts to target the upstream determinants of enhanced STI transmission.

Implications of network connectivity: Know Your Network, Determine Your Prevalence

If confirmed by further experimental data, the network connectivity approach would generate new opportunities for STI prevention interventions. Whilst individual level biomedical STI control interventions have delivered considerable successes, they do not address the root cause of high STI prevalence and are therefore unlikely to accomplish radical prevention^{126,127}. HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis and treatment as prevention, for example, may reduce HIV transmission but will not reduce the transmission of other STIs. If differential network connectivity is a fundamental determinant of STI and BV prevalence then this could be communicated to affected populations as an opportunity to effect radical prevention. Along these lines, a ‘Know your Network’ intervention has been successfully piloted in Kenya¹²⁸. During a community meeting, the community’s sexual network was computed by fitting a dynamic network model to data from individual sexual diaries, and a graphical representation of the network was fed back to the community. Participants reported the intervention to be transformative but formal trials are required to assess the efficacy on STI incidence of this

Table 2. Suggested standardized methodology for mapping variations in sexually transmitted infection (STI) prevalence and associated risk factors by ethnic group/region in all relevant countries.

No.	Methodology
1	Relevant countries are those where STI/HIV prevalence varies by 2-fold between ethnic groups/regions
2	Assess if analysis is more appropriately broken down by ethnic group or region based on: 1. HIV prevalence differential greater by region or by ethnicity 2. Degree of homophily by ethnicity in partner choice
3	Use hierarchy of survey designs for data analysis, with HIV and other STI serolinked, nationally, regionally and ethnic group representative sampling as the gold standard
4	Use standardized definitions of variables such all 15–49 year olds and all 15–24 year olds regardless of if ever had sex or not
5	Standardized methodology for data analysis and visualization

type of intervention¹²⁸. Uganda's 'Zero Grazing' campaign¹²⁹ and similar processes elsewhere in Africa^{100,130} which resulted in dramatic declines in side-partners and HIV incidence, could be viewed as providing both guidance and evidence for this approach.

Data availability

No data are associated with this article.

References

- Gray R, Kigozi G, Kong X, *et al.*: **The effectiveness of male circumcision for HIV prevention and effects on risk behaviors in a posttrial follow-up study.** *AIDS*. 2012; **26**(5): 609–15.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Chesson HW, Mayaud P, Aral SO, *et al.*: **Sexually Transmitted Infections: Impact and Cost-Effectiveness of Prevention.** *Disease Control Priorities*. 2017; **6**: 203–232.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Dallabetta G, Wi T, Nielsen G, *et al.*: **Prevention and control of STD and HIV infection in developing countries.** Sexually transmitted diseases 4th edn New York, NY: McGraw-Hill. 2008.
- Gewirtzman A, Bobrick L, Conner K, *et al.*: **Epidemiology of Sexually Transmitted Infections.** In: Gross G, Tyring SK, editors. *Sexually transmitted infections and sexually transmitted diseases*. Heidelberg: Springer Verlag. 2011; 13–34.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Holmqvist G: **HIV and Income Inequality: If there is a link, what does it tell us?** Working Paper, International Policy Centre for Inclusive Growth, 2009.
[Reference Source](#)
- Kenyon CR, Buyze J: **No association between gender inequality and peak HIV prevalence in developing countries - an ecological study.** *AIDS Care*. 2015; **27**(2): 150–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Voeten HA, Vissers DC, Gregson S, *et al.*: **Strong association between immigration and HIV prevalence in urban sub-Saharan Africa.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 2010; **37**(4): 240–3.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Morrison CS, Chen PL, Kwok C, *et al.*: **Hormonal contraception and the risk of HIV acquisition: an individual participant data meta-analysis.** *PLoS Med*. 2015; **12**(1): e1001778.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Buve A, Jaspers V, Crucitti T, *et al.*: **The vaginal microbiota and susceptibility to HIV.** *AIDS*. 2014; **28**(16): 2333–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kaul R, Cohen CR, Chege D, *et al.*: **Biological factors that may contribute to regional and racial disparities in HIV prevalence.** *Am J Reprod Immunol*. 2011; **65**(3): 317–24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Drain PK, Smith JS, Hughes JP, *et al.*: **Correlates of national HIV seroprevalence: an ecologic analysis of 122 developing countries.** *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004; **35**(4): 407–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Morris M, Kurth AE, Hamilton DT, *et al.*: **Concurrent partnerships and HIV prevalence disparities by race: linking science and public health practice.** *Am J Public Health*. 2009; **99**(6): 1023–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Morris M, Kretzschmar M: **Concurrent partnerships and the spread of HIV.** *AIDS*. 1997; **11**(5): 641–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Keeling MJ, Eames KT: **Networks and epidemic models.** *J R Soc Interface*. 2005; **2**(4): 295–307.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Watts CH, May RM: **The influence of concurrent partnerships on the dynamics of HIV/AIDS.** *Math Biosci*. 1992; **108**(1): 89–104.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Jolly AM, Muth SQ, Wylie JL, *et al.*: **Sexual networks and sexually transmitted infections: a tale of two cities.** *J Urban Health*. 2001; **78**(3): 433–445.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Jolly AM, Wylie JL: **Sexual Networks and Sexually Transmitted Infections; "The Strength of Weak (Long Distance) Ties".** In: *The New Public Health and STD/HIV Prevention*. Springer; 2013; 77–109.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Potterat JJ, Zimmerman-Rogers H, Muth SQ, *et al.*: **Chlamydia transmission: concurrency, reproduction number, and the epidemic trajectory.** *Am J Epidemiol*. 1999; **150**(12): 1331–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Laumann EO, Youm Y: **Racial/ethnic group differences in the prevalence of sexually transmitted diseases in the United States: a network explanation.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 1999; **26**(5): 250–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kraut-Becher J, Eisenberg M, Voytek C, *et al.*: **Examining racial disparities in HIV: lessons from sexually transmitted infections research.** *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008; **47** Suppl 1: S20–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Morris M, Goodreau S, Moody J: **Sexual networks, concurrency and STD/HIV.** In: Holmes KK, editor. *Sexually transmitted diseases*. 4th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Medical; 2008; 2166.
- Armbruster B, Wang L, Morris M: **Forward reachable sets: Analytically derived properties of connected components for dynamic networks.** *Netw Sci*. 2017; **5**(3): 328–54.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Wasserheit JN: **Epidemiological synergy. Interrelationships between human immunodeficiency virus infection and other sexually transmitted diseases.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 1992; **19**(2): 61–77.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Hethcote HW, Yorke JA: **Lecture notes in biomathematics: gonorrhea transmission and control.** Levin S, editor. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1984.
- Yorke JA, Hethcote HW, Nold A: **Dynamics and control of the transmission of gonorrhea.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 1978; **5**(2): 51–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Leiby GM, Turner TB, Clark GE, *et al.*: **Studies on syphilis in the Eastern Health District of Baltimore city; syphilis among parturient women as an index of the trend of syphilis in the community.** *Am J Hyg*. 1947; **46**(2): 260–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kenyon CR, Osbak K, Tsoumanis A: **The Global Epidemiology of Syphilis in the Past Century - A Systematic Review Based on Antenatal Syphilis Prevalence.** *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016; **10**(5): e0004711.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Fleming DT, McQuillan GM, Johnson RE, *et al.*: **Herpes simplex virus type 2 in the United States, 1976 to 1994.** *N Engl J Med*. 1997; **337**(16): 1105–11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Goodreau SM, Cassels S, Kasprzyk D, *et al.*: **Concurrent partnerships, acute infection and HIV epidemic dynamics among young adults in Zimbabwe.** *AIDS Behav*. 2012; **16**(2): 312–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kenyon C, Buyze J, Colebunders R: **HIV prevalence by race co-varies closely with concurrency and number of sex partners in South Africa.** *PLoS One*. 2013; **8**(5): e64080.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kenyon CR, Buyze J, Klebanoff M, *et al.*: **Association between bacterial vaginosis and partner concurrency: a longitudinal study.** *Sex Transm Infect*. 2018; **94**(1): 75–77.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kenyon CR, Buyze J, Klebanoff M, *et al.*: **Incident Trichomonas vaginalis Is Associated With Partnership Concurrency: A Longitudinal Cohort Study.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 2017; **44**(11): 695–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Koumans EH, Sternberg M, Bruce C, *et al.*: **The prevalence of bacterial vaginosis in the United States, 2001-2004; associations with symptoms, sexual behaviors, and reproductive health.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 2007; **34**(11): 864–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kenyon CR, Colebunders R: **Strong association between the prevalence of bacterial vaginosis and male point-concurrency.** *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2014; **172**: 93–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in funding this work.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Leigh Johnson for helpful comments on an earlier draft of the paper and discussants at a South African Centre for Epidemiological Modelling and Analysis seminar where this hypothesis was presented.

35. Buvé A, Weiss HA, Laga M, *et al.*: **The epidemiology of gonorrhoea, chlamydial infection and syphilis in four African cities.** *AIDS*. 2001; 15 Suppl 4: S79–88.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
36. Fenton KA, Korovessis C, Johnson AM, *et al.*: **Sexual behaviour in Britain: reported sexually transmitted infections and prevalent genital *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection.** *Lancet*. 2001; 358(9296): 1851–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
37. Cancihuaman FA, Carcamo CP, Garcia PJ, *et al.*: **Non-monogamy and risk of infection with *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Trichomonas vaginalis* among young adults and their cohabiting partners in Peru.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 2010; 86 Suppl 3: iii37–iii44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
38. Garnett GP, Mertz KJ, Finelli L, *et al.*: **The transmission dynamics of gonorrhoea: modelling the reported behaviour of infected patients from Newark, New Jersey.** *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 1999; 354(1384): 787–97.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
39. Ghani AC, Swinton J, Garnett GP: **The role of sexual partnership networks in the epidemiology of gonorrhoea.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 1997; 24(1): 45–56.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
40. Mishra V, Medley A, Hong R, *et al.*: **Levels and spread of HIV seroprevalence and associated factors: evidence from national household surveys.** 2009.
[Reference Source](#)
41. Auvert B, Buvé A, Ferry B, *et al.*: **Ecological and individual level analysis of risk factors for HIV infection in four urban populations in sub-Saharan Africa with different levels of HIV infection.** *AIDS*. 2001; 15 Suppl 4: S15–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
42. Kenyon C: **HIV prevalence correlated with circumcision prevalence and high-risk sexual behavior in India's states: an ecological study [version 1; referees: awaiting peer review].** *F1000Res*. 2019; 8: 60.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
43. Kenyon CR, Tsoumanis A, Schwartz IS: **HIV Prevalence Correlates with High-Risk Sexual Behavior in Ethiopia's Regions.** *PLoS One*. 2015; 10(10): e0140835.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
44. Kenyon CR, Tsoumanis A, Schwartz IS: **A population's higher-risk sexual behaviour is associated with its average sexual behaviour-An ecological analysis of subpopulations in Ethiopia, Kenya, South Africa, Uganda and the United States.** *Epidemics*. 2016; 15: 56–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
45. HELLERINGER S, KOHLER HP, KALILANI-PHILLI L: **The association of HIV serodiscordance and partnership concurrency in Likoma Island (Malawi).** *AIDS*. 2009; 23(10): 1285–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
46. Kenyon CR, Tsoumanis A, Schwartz IS, *et al.*: **Partner concurrency and HIV infection risk in South Africa.** *Int J Infect Dis*. 2016; 45: 81–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
47. Adimora AA, Schoenbach VJ, Martinson FE, *et al.*: **Heterosexually transmitted HIV infection among African Americans in North Carolina.** *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2006; 41(5): 616–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
48. Kenyon C, Colebunders R: **Strong association between point-concurrency and national peak HIV prevalence.** *Int J Infect Dis*. 2012; 16(11): e826–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
49. Bohl DD, Raymond HF, Arnold M, *et al.*: **Concurrent sexual partnerships and racial disparities in HIV infection among men who have sex with men.** *Sex Transm Infect*. 2009; 85(5): 367–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
50. Adimora AA, Schoenbach VJ, Bonas DM, *et al.*: **Concurrent sexual partnerships among women in the United States.** *Epidemiology*. 2002; 13(3): 320–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
51. Xu F, Sternberg MR, Kottiri BJ, *et al.*: **Trends in herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2 seroprevalence in the United States.** *JAMA*. 2006; 296(8): 964–73.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
52. Kenyon C, Colebunders R, Buve A, *et al.*: **Partner-concurrency associated with herpes simplex virus 2 infection in young South Africans.** *Int J STD AIDS*. 2013; 24(10): 804–12.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
53. Kenyon C, Colebunders R, Hens N: **Determinants of generalized herpes simplex virus-2 epidemics: the role of sexual partner concurrency.** *Int J STD AIDS*. 2013; 24(5): 375–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
54. Shi R, Devarakonda S, Liu L, *et al.*: **Factors associated with genital human papillomavirus infection among adult females in the United States, NHANES 2007-2010.** *BMC Res Notes*. 2014; 7: 544.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
55. Sonnenberg P, Clifton S, Beddows S, *et al.*: **Prevalence, risk factors, and uptake of interventions for sexually transmitted infections in Britain: findings from the National Surveys of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles (Natsal).** *Lancet*. 2013; 382(9907): 1795–806.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
56. Javanbakht M, Gorbach PM, Amani B, *et al.*: **Concurrency, sex partner risk, and high-risk human papillomavirus infection among African American, Asian, and Hispanic women.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 2010; 37(2): 68–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
57. Koumans EH, Farley TA, Gibson JJ, *et al.*: **Characteristics of persons with syphilis in areas of persisting syphilis in the United States: sustained transmission associated with concurrent partnerships.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 2001; 28(9): 497–503.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
58. Kenyon CR, Osbak K: **The Prevalence of Syphilis Is Associated with the Prevalence of Male Point-Concurrency: An Ecological Analysis.** *World J AIDS*. 2015; 5(02): 57363.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
59. Rogers SM, Turner CF, Hobbs M, *et al.*: **Epidemiology of undiagnosed trichomoniasis in a probability sample of urban young adults.** *PLoS One*. 2014; 9(3): e90548.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
60. Kenyon CR, Hamilton DT: **Correlation between *Trichomonas vaginalis* and Concurrency: An Ecological Study.** *Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis*. 2016; 2016: 5052802.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
61. Koumans EH, Sternberg M, Bruce C, *et al.*: **The prevalence of bacterial vaginosis in the United States, 2001-2004; associations with symptoms, sexual behaviors, and reproductive health.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 2007; 34(11): 864–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
62. Johnson LF, Dorrington RE, Bradshaw D, *et al.*: **Sexual behaviour patterns in South Africa and their association with the spread of HIV: insights from a mathematical model.** *Demogr Res*. 2009; 21: 289–340.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
63. Paz-Bailey G, Morales-Miranda S, Jacobson JO, *et al.*: **High rates of STD and sexual risk behaviors among Garifunas in Honduras.** *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2009; 51 Suppl 1: S26–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
64. Kenyon CR, Vu L, Menten J, *et al.*: **Male circumcision and sexual risk behaviors may contribute to considerable ethnic disparities in HIV prevalence in Kenya: an ecological analysis.** *PLoS One*. 2014; 9(8): e106230.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
65. Kenyon CR: **HIV prevalence by ethnic group covaries with prevalence of herpes simplex virus-2 and high-risk sex in Uganda: An ecological study.** *PLoS One*. 2018; 13(4): e0195431.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
66. Kenyon CR, Osbak K, Buyze J: **The prevalence of HIV by ethnic group is correlated with HSV-2 and syphilis prevalence in Kenya, South Africa, the United Kingdom and the United States.** *Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis*. 2014; 2014: 284317.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
67. Fenton KA, Mercer CH, McManus S, *et al.*: **Ethnic variations in sexual behaviour in Great Britain and risk of sexually transmitted infections: a probability survey.** *Lancet*. 2005; 365(9466): 1246–55.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
68. Turner KM, Garnett GP, Ghani AC, *et al.*: **Investigating ethnic inequalities in the incidence of sexually transmitted infections: mathematical modelling study.** *Sex Transm Infect*. 2004; 80(5): 379–85.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
69. Kenyon C, Buyze J, Schwartz IS: **Strong association between higher-risk sex and HIV prevalence at the regional level: an ecological study of 27 sub-Saharan African countries [version 1; referees: 1 approved].** *F1000Res*. 2018; 7: 1879.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
70. Kenyon C, Osbak K: **Sexual networks, HIV, race and bacterial vaginosis.** *AIDS*. 2015; 29(5): 641–642.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
71. Kenyon CR, Delva W, Brotman RM: **Differential sexual network connectivity offers a parsimonious explanation for population-level variations in the prevalence of bacterial vaginosis: a data-driven, model-supported hypothesis.** *BMC Womens Health*. 2019; 19(1): 8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
72. Kenyon C, Colebunders R, Voeten H, *et al.*: **Peak HIV prevalence: a useful outcome variable for ecological studies.** *Int J Infect Dis*. 2013; 17(5): e286–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
73. Gouws E, Mishra V, Fowler TB: **Comparison of adult HIV prevalence from national population-based surveys and antenatal clinic surveillance in countries with generalised epidemics: implications for calibrating surveillance data.** *Sex Transm Infect*. 2008; 84 Suppl 1: i17–i23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
74. UNAIDS/WHO: **Estimating National Adult Prevalence of HIV-1 in Generalized Epidemics.** Geneva: UNAIDS/WHO, 2009.
75. WHO: **Surveillance of the HIV/AIDS epidemic: 2013 comprehensive package.** Geneva: WHO, 2013.
[Reference Source](#)
76. Kenyon C, Colebunders R, Voeten H, *et al.*: **Migration intensity has no effect on peak HIV prevalence: an ecological study.** *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014; 14(1): 350.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
77. Kenyon C, Buyze J: **Correlation between National Peak HIV Prevalence and Concurrency, STI Treatment Capability, Condom Use and Circumcision: An Ecological Study.** *World J AIDS*. 2014; 4(2): 47249.
[Publisher Full Text](#)

78. Mishra V, Assche SB, Greener R, *et al.*: **HIV infection does not disproportionately affect the poorer in sub-Saharan Africa.** *AIDS*. 2007; 21 Suppl 7: S17–28.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
79. Drain PK, Halperin DT, Hughes JP, *et al.*: **Male circumcision, religion, and infectious diseases: an ecologic analysis of 118 developing countries.** *BMC Infect Dis*. 2006; 6: 172.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
80. Kenyon C: **Ecological association between HIV and concurrency point-prevalence in South Africa's ethnic groups.** *Afr J AIDS Res*. 2013; 12(2): 79–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
81. Sawers L, Stillwaggon E: **Concurrent sexual partnerships do not explain the HIV epidemics in Africa: a systematic review of the evidence.** *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2010; 13: 34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
82. Lagarde E, Auvert B, Caraël M, *et al.*: **Concurrent sexual partnerships and HIV prevalence in five urban communities of sub-Saharan Africa.** *AIDS*. 2001; 15(7): 877–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
83. Morris M: **Barking up the wrong evidence tree. Comment on Lurie & Rosenthal, "Concurrent partnerships as a driver of the HIV epidemic in sub-Saharan Africa? The evidence is limited".** *AIDS Behav*. 2010; 14(1): 31–3; discussion 34–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
84. Fenton KA, Imrie J: **Increasing rates of sexually transmitted diseases in homosexual men in Western Europe and the United States: why?** *Infect Dis Clin North Am*. 2005; 19(2): 311–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
85. Public Health England: **Inequalities in sexual health: Update on HIV and STIs in men who have sex with men in London.** London: 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
86. Fenton KA, Wasserheit JN: **The courage to learn from our failures: syphilis control in men who have sex with men.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 2007; 34(3): 162–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
87. Kenyon C, Osbak K: **Certain attributes of the sexual ecosystem of high-risk MSM have resulted in an altered microbiome with an enhanced propensity to generate and transmit antibiotic resistance.** *Med Hypotheses*. 2014; 83(2): 196–202.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
88. Mercer CH, Prah P, Field N, *et al.*: **The health and well-being of men who have sex with men (MSM) in Britain: Evidence from the third National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles (Natsal-3).** *BMC Public Health*. 2016; 16: 525.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
89. Peterman TA, Su J, Bernstein KT, *et al.*: **Syphilis in the United States: on the rise?** *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther*. 2015; 13(2): 161–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
90. Stenger MR, Baral S, Stahlman S, *et al.*: **As through a glass, darkly: the future of sexually transmissible infections among gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men.** *Sex Health*. 2017; 14(1): 18–27.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
91. Aral SO, Fenton KA, Holmes KK: **Sexually transmitted diseases in the USA: temporal trends.** *Sex Transm Infect*. 2007; 83(4): 257–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
92. Sandholzer TA: **Factors Affecting the Incidence and Management of Sexually Transmitted Diseases in Homosexual Men.** *Sexually Transmitted Diseases in Homosexual Men*. Springer; 1983; 3–12.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
93. Chesson HW, Dee TS, Aral SO: **AIDS mortality may have contributed to the decline in syphilis rates in the United States in the 1990s.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 2003; 30(5): 419–24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
94. Crepaz N, Hart TA, Marks G: **Highly active antiretroviral therapy and sexual risk behavior: a meta-analytic review.** *JAMA*. 2004; 292(2): 224–36.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
95. Stoneburner RL, Low-Beer D: **Sexual partner reductions explain human immunodeficiency virus declines in Uganda: comparative analyses of HIV and behavioural data in Uganda, Kenya, Malawi, and Zambia.** *Int J Epidemiol*. 2004; 33(3): 624.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
96. Gregson S, Garnett GP, Nyamukapa CA, *et al.*: **HIV decline associated with behavior change in eastern Zimbabwe.** *Science*. 2006; 311(5761): 664–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
97. Stoneburner RL, Low-Beer D: **Population-level HIV declines and behavioral risk avoidance in Uganda.** *Science*. 2004; 304(5671): 714–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
98. Cheluguet B, Baltazar G, Oregre P, *et al.*: **Evidence for population level declines in adult HIV prevalence in Kenya.** *Sex Transm Infect*. 2006; 82 Suppl 1: i21–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
99. Eaton JW, Takavarasha FR, Schumacher CM, *et al.*: **Trends in concurrency, polygyny, and multiple sex partnerships during a decade of declining HIV prevalence in eastern Zimbabwe.** *J Infect Dis*. 2014; 210 Suppl 2: S562–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
100. Halperin DT, Mugurungi O, Hallett TB, *et al.*: **A surprising prevention success: why did the HIV epidemic decline in Zimbabwe?** *PLoS Med*. 2011; 8(2): e1000414.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
101. Johnson LF, Dorrington RE, Bradshaw D, *et al.*: **The effect of syndromic management interventions on the prevalence of sexually transmitted infections in South Africa.** *Sex Reprod Healthc*. 2011; 2(1): 13–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
102. Kenyon C, Buyze J, Colebunders R: **Classification of incidence and prevalence of certain sexually transmitted infections by world regions.** *Int J of Infect Dis*. 2014; 18: 73–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
103. Osbak KK, Rowley JT, Kassebaum NJ, *et al.*: **The Prevalence of Syphilis from the Early HIV Period is Correlated With Peak HIV Prevalence at a Country Level.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 2016; 43(4): 255–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
104. Kenyon C: **Strong associations between national prevalence of various STIs suggests sexual network connectivity is a common underpinning risk factor.** *BMC Infect Dis*. 2017; 17(1): 682.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
105. Liu CM, Hungate BA, Tobian AA, *et al.*: **Penile Microbiota and Female Partner Bacterial Vaginosis in Rakai, Uganda.** *mBio*. 2015; 6(3): e00589.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
106. Balashov SV, Mordechai E, Adelson ME, *et al.*: **Identification, quantification and subtyping of *Gardnerella vaginalis* in noncultured clinical vaginal samples by quantitative PCR.** *J Med Microbiol*. 2014; 63(Pt 2): 162–75.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
107. Swidsinski A, Doerffel Y, Loening-Baucke V, *et al.*: ***Gardnerella* biofilm involves females and males and is transmitted sexually.** *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 2010; 70(4): 256–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
108. Gardner HL, Dukes CD: ***Haemophilus vaginalis* vaginitis: a newly defined specific infection previously classified non-specific vaginitis.** *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1955; 69(5): 962–76.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
109. Zozaya M, Ferris MJ, Siren JD, *et al.*: **Bacterial communities in penile skin, male urethra, and vaginas of heterosexual couples with and without bacterial vaginosis.** *Microbiome*. 2016; 4: 16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
110. Nelson DE, Dong Q, Van der Pol B, *et al.*: **Bacterial communities of the coronal sulcus and distal urethra of adolescent males.** *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(5): e36298.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
111. Nelson DE, Van Der Pol B, Dong Q, *et al.*: **Characteristic male urine microbiomes associate with asymptomatic sexually transmitted infection.** *PLoS One*. 2010; 5(11): e14116.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
112. Fethers KA, Fairley CK, Hocking JS, *et al.*: **Sexual risk factors and bacterial vaginosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Clin Infect Dis*. 2008; 47(11): 1426–35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
113. Fethers KA, Fairley CK, Morton A, *et al.*: **Early sexual experiences and risk factors for bacterial vaginosis.** *J Infect Dis*. 2009; 200(11): 1662–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
114. Bautista CT, Wurapa E, Sateren WB, *et al.*: **Bacterial vaginosis: a synthesis of the literature on etiology, prevalence, risk factors, and relationship with chlamydia and gonorrhea infections.** *Mil Med Res*. 2016; 3: 4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
115. Brotman RM, Klebanoff MA, Nansel TR, *et al.*: **Bacterial vaginosis assessed by gram stain and diminished colonization resistance to incident gonococcal, chlamydial, and trichomonal genital infection.** *J Infect Dis*. 2010; 202(12): 1907–15.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
116. Kaul R, Nagelkerke NJ, Kimani J, *et al.*: **Prevalent herpes simplex virus type 2 infection is associated with altered vaginal flora and an increased susceptibility to multiple sexually transmitted infections.** *J Infect Dis*. 2007; 196(11): 1692–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
117. Rottingen JA, Cameron DW, Garnett GP: **A systematic review of the epidemiologic interactions between classic sexually transmitted diseases and HIV: how much really is known?** *Sex Transm Dis*. 2001; 28(10): 579–97.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
118. Ward H, Ronn M: **Contribution of sexually transmitted infections to the sexual transmission of HIV.** *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2010; 5(4): 305–10.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
119. Chernes TL, Meyn LA, Krohn MA, *et al.*: **Risk factors for infection with herpes simplex virus type 2: role of smoking, douching, uncircumcised males, and vaginal flora.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 2003; 30(5): 405–10.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
120. Chernes TL, Meyn LA, Krohn MA, *et al.*: **Association between acquisition of herpes simplex virus type 2 in women and bacterial vaginosis.** *Clin Infect Dis*. 2003; 37(3): 319–25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
121. Rathod SD, Krupp K, Klausner JD, *et al.*: **Bacterial vaginosis and risk for *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection: a longitudinal analysis.** *Sex Transm Dis*. 2011; 88(1): 10–15.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

- 38(9): 882–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
122. Esber A, Vicetti Miguel RD, Cherpes TL, *et al.*: **Risk of Bacterial Vaginosis Among Women With Herpes Simplex Virus Type 2 Infection: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis.** *J Infect Dis.* 2015; **212**(1): 8–17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 123. Aral SO: **Determinants of STD epidemics: implications for phase appropriate intervention strategies.** *Sex Transm Infect.* 2002; **78** Suppl 1: i3–13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 124. Gorbach PM, Stoner BP, Aral SO, *et al.*: **“It takes a village”: understanding concurrent sexual partnerships in Seattle, Washington.** *Sex Transm Dis.* 2002; **29**(8): 453–462.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 125. Aral SO, Leichliter JS: **Non-monogamy: risk factor for STI transmission and acquisition and determinant of STI spread in populations.** *Sex Transm Infect.* 2010; **86** Suppl 3: iii29–36.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 126. Aral SO, Leichliter JS, Blanchard JF: **Overview: the role of emergent properties of complex systems in the epidemiology and prevention of sexually transmitted infections including HIV infection.** *Sex Transm Infect.* 2010; **86** Suppl 3: iii1–3.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 127. Rose G, Day S: **The population mean predicts the number of deviant individuals.** *BMJ.* 1990; **301**(6759): 1031–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 128. Knopf A, Agot K, Sidle J, *et al.*: **“This is the medicine:” A Kenyan community responds to a sexual concurrency reduction intervention.** *Soc Sci Med.* 2014; **108**: 175–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 129. Kirby D: **Changes in sexual behaviour leading to the decline in the prevalence of HIV in Uganda: confirmation from multiple sources of evidence.** *Sex Transm Infect.* 2008; **84** Suppl 2: ii35–ii41.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 130. Shisana O, Rehle, T, Simbayi LC, *et al.*: **South African National HIV Prevalence, Incidence and Behaviour Survey, 2012.** Cape Town: HSRC Press; 2014.
[Reference Source](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:  

Version 2

Reviewer Report 13 February 2019

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.19714.r43791>

© 2019 Jolly A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Ann M. Jolly 

School of Epidemiology, Public Health and Preventative Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

Authors have kindly resolved all my concerns so it is fully approved by me.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 11 January 2019

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.18748.r42433>

© 2019 Korenromp E. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Eline L Korenromp 

Avenir Health, Geneva, Switzerland

I agree with the earlier comments by Ann Jolly, and am adding only a few minor additional comments here, meant for clarification.

Legend of Figure 2: Please clarify or rephrase 'if one conditions on this variable.'

Page 5, section(b), left column: 'generally high-quality longitudinal data on HIV prevalence around the world': I think these prevalence surveys are not really longitudinal (which commonly denotes cohort data, where a cohort of identified individuals is followed for incidence at individual-level), but rather periodic (in a similar population, but with some turnover of individuals). Please rephrase here - and in a similar instance later on in the article.

Is the topic of the opinion article discussed accurately in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Are arguments sufficiently supported by evidence from the published literature?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn balanced and justified on the basis of the presented arguments?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: epidemiology, program and impact evaluation, disease surveillance, cost effectiveness modelling, infectious diseases, HIV/AIDS, STIs, malaria, reproductive health

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 03 January 2019

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.18748.r41827>

© 2019 Jolly A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Ann M. Jolly 

School of Epidemiology, Public Health and Preventative Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

This is a well referenced, very interesting paper which attempts and in large part succeeds, in critically examining, and in many cases rejecting common "risk factors/ determinants" of high STI (sexually transmitted infection) rates. The authors have traced a long history in mathematical modelling of primarily HIV and summarised the most common and consistently found explanations of "peak HIV" rates at an ecological level.

I think this article is thought provoking and even if one is not entirely convinced by it, it adds much

deeper and more critical thought to assumptions of mathematical modellers and epidemiologists about the immediate causes of HIV than I have ever seen. I am glad it is published.

I think the paper could be improved in general by addressing two major areas. First, some mention of the empirical study of sexual networks should be made. There are examples from all over the world which the authors may use to strengthen their ultimate hypothesis; that sexual interactions between sexual network members in which some people are infected with STI are the primary and necessary conditions for STI to transmit ^{1,2}. This will help define for their readers very clearly the fact that because ecological findings focus on whole systems, whether national or regional, these studies are more indicative of large networks and should not be regarded as “weaker” evidence as they are in epidemiology. The authors can also argue that the ultimate risk factor on an individual level for acquiring gonorrhoea for example is having unprotected sex with another person with gonorrhea; that is it and that is all. Other factors are moderators of that plain risk; such as use of condoms, cumulative frequency of intercourse, etc. Concurrency or number of sex partners are indirect indicators of the risk itself; because transmission of STI is an interaction which occurs between at minimum two people, the other “factors” are reduced to characteristics or behaviors of individuals within the population, which again are proxies, though more proximal than age, sex, ethnic background or income.

The second thing I would be very clear on is the part played by ethnic group. This is again just a proxy for sexual interactions and cultural norms, and may not be a consistent nor accurate marker. However, it is very easy in the discussion of HIV in Africa to “racialize” the epidemic and/or behavior. For example, the effect of the labour policies under apartheid South Africa, where black labourers were forced into a pattern of migrant labor for a year at a time from their rural homes to large urban or mining compounds did much to accelerate sexual interactions which in turn exacerbated HIV spread. Likewise, sexual violence in some parts of Africa is extremely high, and while it is not mentioned here specifically, certainly does contribute to transmission. However, this also is linked to harsh colonial conditions and the authors could make it very clear that this should not be interpreted as being an intrinsically ethnic or cultural characteristic. The successful Ugandan educational intervention is a great demonstration of that. One of the best network explanations of different STI rates in people of different ethnic backgrounds can be found in ³, pages 689-697.

More minor comments follow;

Page 2 - I love Figure 1.

Page 3, 2nd paragraph - better give credit where credit is due ⁴.

Page 3, 3rd paragraph - so concurrency may be a marker of connectivity; or a determinant, but one may equally pose that if one has many sex partners the only way to fit them all in is to have concurrent ones.. and that may have an effect also on frequency of intercourse with each partner. Lots of food for thought!

Page 4 - wonderful summary! I would love to see a systematic review which includes an exhaustive list with negative findings. Again, one could look for only proximal causes of transmission rather than secondary or structural determinants.

Page 5 - you state that "Peak high prevalence HIV is based generally of high quality longitudinal data". Please justify and provide references.

Page 5 - the statements on poverty and socioeconomic status, gender inequality which are not consistently associated with HIV are great; this is because these determinants are only distally linked to transmission.

Page 5, paragraph 8 - to describe numbers of partners more accurately I would use the median, range or IQR as the mean implies these distributions are Gaussian, but they are not. The devil is literally in "de tail" Also one may have to look at the penile anal penetration risk of transmission which is not exactly comparable to penile vaginal sex. But I agree in principle.

Page 7, paragraph 1 - I would be more accurate here, HSV is certainly treatable, but not as easily diagnosed or treated as the bacterial STI.

Page 7, Table 2 - you may find the discussion of ethnic homophily and heterogeneity in networks interesting in ^{1,2}.

Great paper, good thinking, and great to challenge convention!

References

1. Jolly AM, Muth SQ, Wylie JL, Potterat JJ: Sexual networks and sexually transmitted infections: a tale of two cities. *J Urban Health*. 2001; **78** (3): 433-45 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. The New Public Health and STD/HIV Prevention. 2013. [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Mokotoff E: African Americans and HIV/AIDS: Understanding and Addressing the Epidemic. *JAMA* . 2011; **305** (18). [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Wasserheit JN: Epidemiological synergy. Interrelationships between human immunodeficiency virus infection and other sexually transmitted diseases. *Sex Transm Dis*. **19** (2): 61-77 [PubMed Abstract](#)

Is the topic of the opinion article discussed accurately in the context of the current literature?

Partly

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Partly

Are arguments sufficiently supported by evidence from the published literature?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn balanced and justified on the basis of the presented arguments?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Social networks, infectious disease, epidemiology, sexually transmitted infections, public health

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 22 Jan 2019

Chris Kenyon, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

This is a well referenced, very interesting paper which attempts and in large part succeeds, in critically examining, and in many cases rejecting common “risk factors/ determinants” of high STI (sexually transmitted infection) rates. The authors have traced a long history in mathematical modelling of primarily HIV and summarised the most common and consistently found explanations of “peak HIV” rates at an ecological level.

I think this article is thought provoking and even if one is not entirely convinced by it, it adds much deeper and more critical thought to assumptions of mathematical modellers and epidemiologists about the immediate causes of HIV than I have ever seen. I am glad it is published.

I think the paper could be improved in general by addressing two major areas. First, some mention of the empirical study of sexual networks should be made. There are examples from all over the world which the authors may use to strengthen their ultimate hypothesis; that sexual interactions between sexual network members in which some people are infected with STI are the primary and necessary conditions for STI to transmit [1,2](#). This will help define for their readers very clearly the fact that because ecological findings focus on whole systems, whether national or regional, these studies are more indicative of large networks and should not be regarded as “weaker” evidence as they are in epidemiology. The authors can also argue that the ultimate risk factor on an individual level for acquiring gonorrhoea for example is having unprotected sex with another person with gonorrhea; that is it and that is all. Other factors are moderators of that plain risk; such as use of condoms, cumulative frequency of intercourse, etc. Concurrency or number of sex partners are indirect indicators of the risk itself; because transmission of STI is an interaction which occurs between at minimum two people, the other “factors” are reduced to characteristics or behaviors of individuals within the population, which again are proxies, though more proximal than age, sex, ethnic background or income.

The second thing I would be very clear on is the part played by ethnic group. This is again just a proxy for sexual interactions and cultural norms, and may not be a consistent nor accurate marker. However, it is very easy in the discussion of HIV in Africa to “racialize” the epidemic and/or behavior. For example, the effect of the labour policies under apartheid South Africa, where black labourers were forced into a pattern of migrant labor for a year at a time from their rural homes to large urban or mining compounds did much to accelerate sexual interactions which in turn exacerbated HIV spread. Likewise, sexual violence in some parts of Africa is extremely high, and while it is not mentioned here specifically, certainly does contribute to transmission. However, this also is linked to harsh colonial conditions and the authors could make it very clear that this should not be interpreted as being a intrinsically ethnic or cultural characteristic. The successful Ugandan educational

intervention is a great demonstration of that. One of the best network explanations of different STI rates in people of different ethnic backgrounds can be found in [3](#), pages 689-697.

Reply:

In addition to small additions to the text along the lines suggested we have also added the two references suggested and the following new paragraphs:

Page 6, L28:

The relationship between ethnicity, race and STI prevalence

It is of paramount importance to emphasize that our hypothesis makes no reference to race. The hypothesis proposes that there are differences in sexual behavior between different groups of people which translate into differences in network connectivity and as a result differential STI prevalence. These groups can be defined by sexual orientation, ethnicity, social class, caste or whatever categories meaningfully segregate sexual networks. These categories are social constructs and thus vary considerably across time and place. It is our considered opinion that investigators who conduct investigations into STI epidemiology using these categories do so with sufficient sensitivity to the concerns as to how these categories are and have been used and abused.

Other authors have hypothesized that biological differences between racial groups play an important role in STI epidemiology. A recent form of this argument is that 'black populations' are innately more likely to have bacterial-vaginosis-type vaginal microbiomes which in turn facilitates the transmission of various STIs in this population ¹. We and others have argued that the evidence does not support this, and other race-based explanations of differential STI spread ^{2,3}. As an example, we noted that 'black populations' with evidence of low sexual network connectivity have a very low prevalence of BV and conversely 'white populations' with high connectivity had a high BV prevalence ^{2,3}.

Page 10, L22:

Our theory includes mention of the wide array of upstream socioeconomic and political factors that have been shown to influence the spread of STIs ⁴. We argue that the pathways through which these factors facilitate STI transmission is to a large extent mediated via alterations in network connectivity ⁵. We have not, however, gone into any detail into reviewing the evidence on which this view is based ^{4,6,7}. Furthermore, our focus on the more downstream factors responsible for STI transmission should not detract from efforts to target the upstream determinants of enhanced STI transmission.

More minor comments follow;

Page 2 - I love Figure 1.

Page 3, 2nd paragraph - better give credit where credit is due [4](#).

Reply:

This reference has been added

Page 3, 3rd paragraph - so concurrency may be a marker of connectivity; or a determinant, but one may equally pose that if one has many sex partners the only way to fit them all in is to have concurrent ones.. and that may have an effect also on frequency of intercourse with each partner. Lots of food for thought!

Reply:

Indeed increasing concurrency tends to lead to an increase in number of partners per unit time. Interestingly a number of modelling studies have shown that, in certain scenarios, increasing concurrency whilst keeping total number of partnership per unit time unchanged can still result in increases in markers of connectivity such as the forward reachable path ^{8,9}. In the datasets we have reviewed, the two however tend to covary at population levels ¹⁰⁻¹³ and thus in our estimation a reasonable case can be made to not consider these two variables in isolation.

Page 4 - wonderful summary! I would love to see a systematic review which includes an exhaustive list with negative findings. Again, one could look for only proximal causes of transmission rather than secondary or structural determinants.

Reply:

Hopefully someone reading this will be tempted to do this review.

Page 5 - you state that "Peak high prevalence HIV is based generally of high quality longitudinal data". Please justify and provide references.

Reply:

A justification for this assertion has been provided and backed up with 3 new references (Page 7, L9-14).

Page 5 - the statements on poverty and socioeconomic status, gender inequality which are not consistently associated with HIV are great; this is because these determinants are only distally linked to transmission.

Reply:

Thank you.

Page 5, paragraph 8 - to describe numbers of partners more accurately I would use the median, range or IQR as the mean implies these distributions are Gaussian, but they are not. The devil is literally in "de tail" Also one may have to look at the penile anal penetration risk of transmission which is not exactly comparable to penile vaginal sex. But I agree in principle.

Reply:

Thank you for pointing this out. We agree and have changed the figures provided to medians and interquartile ranges.

Page 7, paragraph 1 - I would be more accurate here, HSV is certainly treatable, but not as

easily diagnosed or treated as the bacterial STI.

Reply:

This has been changed as suggested.

Page 7, Table 2 - you may find the discussion of ethnic homophily and heterogeneity in networks interesting in [1,2](#).

Reply:

Thank you for these references which have been added to the paper.

References

1. Buve A, Jespers V, Crucitti T, Fichorova RN. The vaginal microbiota and susceptibility to HIV. *AIDS*. 2014;28(16):2333-2344.
2. Kenyon C, Osbak K. Sexual networks, HIV, race and bacterial vaginosis. *AIDS*. 2015;29(5):641-642.
3. Kenyon CR, Delva W, Brotman RM. Differential sexual network connectivity offers a parsimonious explanation for population-level variations in the prevalence of bacterial vaginosis: a data-driven, model-supported hypothesis. *BMC Womens Health*. 2019;19(1):8.
4. Aral SO. Determinants of STD epidemics: implications for phase appropriate intervention strategies. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2002;78 Suppl 1:i3-13.
5. Gorbach PM, Stoner BP, Aral SO, WL HW, Holmes KK. "It takes a village": understanding concurrent sexual partnerships in Seattle, Washington. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2002;29(8):453-462.
6. Aral SO, Leichter JS. Non-monogamy: risk factor for STI transmission and acquisition and determinant of STI spread in populations. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2010;86 Suppl 3:iii29-36.
7. Aral SO, Leichter JS, Blanchard JF. Overview: the role of emergent properties of complex systems in the epidemiology and prevention of sexually transmitted infections including HIV infection. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2010;86 Suppl 3:iii1-3.
8. Morris M, Kretzschmar M. Concurrent partnerships and the spread of HIV. *AIDS*. 1997;11(5):641-648.
9. Morris M, Goodreau S, Moody J. Sexual networks, concurrency and STD/HIV. In: Holmes KK, ed. *Sexually transmitted diseases*. 4th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Medical; 2008:xxv, 2166 p.
10. Kenyon C, Buyze J, Colebunders R. HIV prevalence by race co-varies closely with concurrency and number of sex partners in South Africa. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(5):e64080.
11. Kenyon CR. HIV prevalence by ethnic group covaries with prevalence of herpes simplex virus-2 and high-risk sex in Uganda: An ecological study. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(4):e0195431.
12. Kenyon C, Menten J, Vu L, Maughan Brown B. Male circumcision and sexual risk behaviors may contribute to considerable ethnic disparities in HIV prevalence in Kenya: an ecological analysis. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(8):e106230.
13. Kenyon CR, Tsoumanis A, Schwartz IS. A population's higher-risk sexual behaviour is associated with its average sexual behaviour-An ecological analysis of subpopulations in Ethiopia, Kenya, South Africa, Uganda and the United States. *Epidemics*. 2016;15:56-65.

Competing Interests: No competing interests

Comments on this article

Version 1

Reader Comment () 03 Dec 2018

Peter Uetz, Center for the Study of Biological Complexity, Virginia Commonwealth University, USA

CT, TV, and BV should be explained in the legend of Fig. 3. Although I gather that TV = *Trichomonas vaginalis*, it's actually not explained anywhere in the text.

Competing Interests: none

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research